SUMMONS (CITACION JUDICIAL)

		(CITACIO	ON JUDICIAL).	American Services	
		T: (Aviso a Acusado)	8	SOUTH (SOLO PARA USO DE LA CORTE	1
BERT DE	IXLER		;	APR -8 AM H: 02	
			CI - S	ERK-EUF EMOR COURT AN DIEGO COUNTY, CA	
	18				
	BEING SUED I está demandan	BY PLAINTIFF: KEVIN VA do)	ANGINDEREN		
			¥		
					Œ
response	is is served on e at this court.	NDAR DAYS after this you to file a typewritten	uene un piazo de	e entreguen esta citación judicial 30 DIAS CALENDARIOS para pre- rita a máquina en esta corte.	üsted sentar
typewritt	or phone call w en response mu int the court to h	rill not protect you; your est be in proper legal form ear your case.	Una carta o un protección; su re cumplir con las fo	a llamada telefónica no le ofi spuesta escrita a máquina tlend rmalidades legales apropiadas ci	
property from the	case, and you may be taken court.	sponse on time, you may our wages, money and without further warning	Si usted no preser	escuche su caso. Ita su respuesta a tiempo, puede p len quitar su salario, su dinero y dad sin aviso adicional por parte	erder
to call an attorr service o book).	attorney right and	uirements. You may want away. If you do not know call an attorney referral ffice (listed in the phone	abogado, puede la abogados o a una telefónico).	nisitos legales. Puede que usted q do inmediatamente. Si no conoce lamar a un servicio de referenc oficina de ayuda legal (vea el direc	a un
Superior	Court of (court is: <i>(El nombre y dirección</i>	de la corte es)	CASE NUMBER (Número del Caso)	
500 Third	d Ave. sta, CA 919			37-2008-00069807-CU-DF-	SC
The name, ad	ldress and telent	cone number of plaintiffs attempt	ney, or plaintiff withou	t an attorney, is: demandante que no tiene abogado, e	
Attorney 637 3rd A	nginderen Kevin Vang Avenue, Sui sta, CA 919	inderen te E-1	(619) 585-7	ternandante que no tiene abogado, e 414	s)
DATE: Apri	11 8, 2008		Clerk, by	C. Warner !	
(Fecha)		NOTICE TO THE PERSON OF	(Actuario)	- (1	Deputy Delegado,
[SEAL]	40 60	NOTICE TO THE PERSON SET 1. as an individual defendance. as the person sued un	dant.		
		3. on behalf of (specify)			
		under: CCP 416.10	(corporation) (defunct corporation)	CCP 416.60 (minor)	
1		CCP 416.40	(association or partner	ship) CCP 416.90 (Individual	

Form Adopted by Rule 982 Judicial Council of California 982(a)(9) [Rev. January 1, 1984] Mandatory Form

(See reverse for Proof of Service) SUMMONS

other:
by personal delivery on (date):

CCP 412.20

ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, state bar number, and address):	982.1(1
Kevin Vanginderen	POR COURT USE ONLY
Attorney Kevin Vanginderen 637 3rd Avenue, Suite E-1	1000
Chula Vista, CA 91910	
Shara Visca, on Sisio	A Page State
TELEPHONE NO: (619) 585-7414 FAX NO. (Optional):	SOUTH CONTAIN
E-MAIL ADDRESS (Optional):	
ATTORNEY FOR (Name):	208 APR -8 AM II: 02
NAME OF COURT: Superior Court of California	- AM 11: 02
STREET ADDRESS: 500 Third Ave.	LERN - BUTERIOR COURT AM DIEGO COUNTY, CA
MAILING ADDRESS: 500 Third Ave.	TATHER SEED COURT
CITY AND ZIP CODE: Chula Vista, CA 91910-5649	" BILLO COUNTY, CA
BRANCH NAME: South County	
PLAINTIFF: Kevin Vanginderen	
DESENDANT. Compall Hadranaid	
DEFENDANT: Cornell University, Bert Deixler	
DOES 1 TO	V V
COMPLAINT — Personal Injury, Property Damage, Wrongful Death	
AMENDED (Number):	
Type (check all that apply):	1
MOTOR VEHICLE X OTHER (specify): Public Disclosure	1
Property Damage Wrongful Death Private Fact/Libel	
X Personal Injury X Other Damages (specify): loss of	
income, loss of reputation, mental anguish, punitive	
Jurisdiction (check all that apply):	
ACTION IS A LIMITED CIVIL CASE	
	CASE NUMBER:
ACTION IS AN UNLIMITED CIVIL CASE (exceeds \$25,000)	
ACTION IS RECLASSIFIED by this amended complaint	37-2008-00069807-CU-DF-SC
from limited to unlimited	
from unlimited to limited	
1. PLAINTIFF (name): Kevin Vanginderen	
alleges causes of action against DEFENDANT (name): Cornell University, Ber 2. This pleading, including attachments and exhibits consists of the following	t Deivler
of normalist and exhibits, consists of the following number of normalist	13
3. Each plaintill named above is a competent adult	
aexcept plaintiff (name):	
(1) a corporation qualified to do business in California	
(2) an unincorporated entity (describe):	
(3) a public entity (describe):	
(4) a minor an adult	*
(a) for whom a guardian or conservator of the estate or a guardian ad litem has	boon en-state t
(b) other (specify):	been appointed
(5) other (specify):	#X
b. except plaintiff (name):	
(1) a corporation qualified to do business in California	
(2) an unincorporated entity (describe):	
(3) a public entity (describe):	
(4) a minor an adult	
an addit	
guardian ad litem has h	peen appointed
The state of the s	
(5) other (specify):	
Information about additional plaintiffs who are not competent adults is shown in Complain	at Attachment 3
rm Approved for Octional Hea	T — Attachment 3.
COMPLAINT Porcent Initiation	Y 1

SHORT TILE: VANGINDEREN V. CORNELL UNIVERSIT	Y, BERT DEIXLER CASE NUMBER:
 Plaintiff (name): is doing business under the fictitious name (specify): 	
and has complied with the fictitious business name laws. 5. Each defendant named above is a natural person a. X except defendant (name): Cornell University	c. except defendant (name):
 (1) a business organization, form unknown (2) a corporation (3) an unincorporated entity (describe): 	 (1) a business organization, form unknown (2) a corporation (3) an unincorporated entity (describe):
(4) a public entity (describe):	(4) a public entity (describe):
(5) other (specify):	(5) other (specify):
b. except defendant (name):	d. except defendant (name):
 (1) a business organization, form unknown (2) a corporation (3) an unincorporated entity (describe): 	 (1) a business organization, form unknown (2) a corporation (3) an unincorporated entity (describe):
(4) a public entity (describe):	(4) a public entity (describe):
(5) other (specify):	(5) other (specify):
Information about additional defendants who are not natural	al persons is contained in Complaint — Attachment 5
6. The true names and capacities of defendants sued as Does an	
7. Defendants who are joined pursuant to Code of Civil Proc	edure section 382 are (names):
8. This court is the proper court because a at least one defendant now resides in its jurisdictional ab the principal place of business of a defendant corporate c injury to person or damage to personal property occurred other (specify):	ion or unincorporated association is in its jurisdictional area
9. X Plaintiff is required to comply with a claims statute, and a. X plaintiff has complied with applicable claims statutes, o b. plaintiff is excused from complying because (specify):	r ⁱ es

SHORTT	IILE: VANGINDEREN V	. CORNELL UNIVERS	ITY, BERT DEIX	LER CASE NUMBE	R:
	llowing causes of action are sof action attached): Motor Vehicle General Negligence Intentional Tort Products Liability Premises Liability Other (specify):	attached and the statement	s above apply to each	(each complaint mus	t have one or more
a. X b. C. C. C. C. C. X e. C. C. G. X g. X	f has suffered wage loss loss of use of property hospital and medical exp general damage property damage loss of earning capacity other damage (specify):	enses loss of reputation	n, mental angu	ish, punitive	
12 a b	The damages claimed for waste listed in Complaint — Attacts as follows:	rrongful death and the relation	nships of plaintiff to the	e deceased are	
13. The relie	ef sought in this complaint i	s within the jurisdiction of this	s court.		
a. (1) (2)	X compensatory dama X punitive damages amount of damages is (you according to proof	or costs of suit; for such relief ges must check (1) in cases for LO,000,000.00	1200 420		8
15. Tr	ne paragraphs of this comp	aint alleged on information a	nd belief are as follows	s (specify paragraph	numbers):
Date: Apr	il 8, 2008				
Kevin Va	anginderen (TYPE OR PRINT NAME)) Zu	GNATURE OF PLAINTIFF OR	ATTORNEY)

SHORT TITLE: VANGI	DEREN V. CORNELL UNIVERSITY	, BERT DEIXLER	CASE NUMBER:
1st (number)	CAUSE OF ACTION - In	tentional Tort	Page 4
ATTACHMENT TO		*	
(Use a separate cause	of action form for each cause of action.)	* 2	
IT-1. Plaintiff (name	: Kevin Vanginderen		
alleges that de	endant (name): Cornell Universi	ty	
			d
□D	es to		

on (date): March 8, 1983,

at (place): Cornell University, Ithaca, NY

(description of reasons for liability):

On March 8, 1983, Barbara Bourne, an employee and agent of the Defendant Cornell University, wrote defamatory and libelous statements about the plaintiff which she communicated to other individuals. A report written by Ms. Bourne on that date alleged that the plaintiff was responsible for fifteen separate crimes although she had never ascertained that fifteen individual crimes had ever occurred, nor did she ever possess a scintilla of evidence that the plainitff was responsible for even half that number of alleged incidents.

As a result of the defendant's tortious actions of Libel, the plaintiff has suffered extreme emotional distress, a loss of reputation and a loss of income from the conduct of the defendant and requests general and punitive damages in the amount of \$1,000,000.

SHORT TITLE: VANGINDEREN V. CORNELL UNIVERSITY, BERT	DEIXLER CASE NUMBER:
2nd CAUSE OF ACTION - Intentional	I Tort Page 5
ATTACHMENT TO 🖾 Complaint 🗀 Cross-Complaint	
(Use a separate cause of action form for each cause of action.)	
IT-1. Plaintiff (name): Kevin Vanginderen	
alleges that defendant (name): Cornell University and	Bert Deixler
Does to	No.

at (place): United States District Court, Southern District of

California and everywhere the Internet is available (description of reasons for liability):

On March 8, 1983, Barbara Bourne, an employee and agent of the Defendant Cornell University, wrote defamatory and libelous statements about the plaintiff which she communicated to other individuals. A report written by Ms. Bourne on that date alleged that the plaintiff was responsible for fifteen separate crimes although she had never ascertained that fifteen individual crimes had ever occurred, nor did she ever possess a scintilla of evidence that the plainitff was responsible for even half that number of alleged incidents.

On December 12, 2007, Defendant Bert Deixler acting as an agent of Defendant Cornell University republished this report onto the Internet by submitting it to United States District Court, Southern District of California, with the knowledge, intent and purpose that it would immediately appear world wide upon the the Justia.com Web site. defendants were aware at the time of submission to the court file that the libelous report was both defamatory and inadmissible as evidence.

As a result of the defendants' tortious actions of Libel, the plaintiff has suffered extreme emotional distress, a loss of reputation and a loss of income from the conduct of the defendants and requests general and punitive damages in the amount of \$1,000,000.

4	SHORT TITLE:	VANGINDEREN V. C	CORNELL UNIVERSITY, BERT DEIXLER	CASE NUMBER:
	3rd	(number)	AUSE OF ACTION - Intentional Tort	Page _6
	ATTACHME	ENT TO (X) Complaint	☐ Cross-Complaint	*
	(Use a separ	rate cause of action form fo	or each cause of action.)	
	IT-1. Plain	ntiff <i>(name)</i> : Kevin Var	nginderen	
	alleg	es that defendant (name):	Bert Deixler and Cornell Unive	rsity
		Does to	o	

on (date): December 12, 2007

at (place): United States District Court, Southern District of California and everywhere the Internet is available (description of reasons for liability):

On December 12, 2007, Defendant Bert Deixler acting as an agent of Defendant Cornell University declared in writing that the Plaintiff was charged in connection with fifteen separate crimes although he had never ascertained that fifteen individual crimes had ever occurred, nor did he ever possess a scintilla of evidence that the plainitff was responsible for even half that number of alleged incidents. Defendant Bert Deixler subsequently republished his libelous statement onto the Internet by submitting it to United States District Court, Southern District of California, with the knowledge, intent and purpose that it would immediately appear world wide upon the the Justia.com Web site.

As a result of the defendants' tortious actions of Libel, the plaintiff has suffered extreme emotional distress, a loss of reputation and a loss of income from the conduct of the defendants and requests general and punitive damages in the amount of \$1,000,000.

SHORT TITLE: VANGINDEREN V. CORNELL UNIVERSITY, BERT DEIXLER	CASE NUMBER:
4th CAUSE OF ACTION - Intentional Tort	Page _7
ATTACHMENT TO	
(Use a separate cause of action form for each cause of action.)	
IT-1. Plaintiff (name): Kevin Vanginderen	
alleges that defendant (name): Cornell University	
to	

on (date): March 8, 1983,

at (place): Cornell University, Ithaca, NY

(description of reasons for liability):

On March 8, 1983, Barbara Bourne, an employee and agent of the Defendant Cornell University, wrote false statements about the plaintiff that portrayed the plaintiff in a false light which she communicated to other individuals. A report written by Ms. Bourne on that date alleged that the plaintiff was responsible for fifteen separate crimes although she had never ascertained that fifteen individual crimes had ever occurred, nor did she ever possess a scintilla of evidence that the plainitff was responsible for even half that number of alleged incidents.

As a result of the defendant's tortious actions of Placing Plaintiff in False Light, the plaintiff has suffered extreme emotional distress, a loss of reputation and a loss of income from the conduct of the defendant and requests general and punitive damages in the amount of \$1,000,000.

SHORT TITLE	E: VANGINDEREN V. CORNELL UNIVERSITY, BERT DEIXLER CO	ASE NUMBER:
5th	CAUSE OF ACTION - Intentional Tort	Page 8
ATTAC	CHMENT TO 🖾 Complaint 🖂 Cross-Complaint	
(Use a s	separate cause of action form for each cause of action.)	
IT-1. F	Plaintiff (name): Kevin Vanginderen	
а	alleges that defendant (name): Cornell University and Bert Deixle:	r
	to	

on (date): December 12, 2007,

at (place): United States District Court, Southern District of California and everywhere the Internet is available (description of reasons for liability):

On March 8, 1983, Barbara Bourne, an employee and agent of the Defendant Cornell University, wrote false statements about the plaintiff that portrayed the plaintiff in a false light which she communicated to other individuals. A report written by Ms. Bourne on that date alleged that the plaintiff was responsible for fifteen separate crimes although she had never ascertained that fifteen individual crimes had ever occurred, nor did she ever possess a scintilla of evidence that the plainitff was responsible for even half that number of alleged incidents.

On December 12, 2007, Defendant Bert Deixler acting as an agent of Defendant Cornell University republished this report onto the Internet by submitting it to United States District Court, Southern District of California, with the knowledge, intent and purpose that it would immediately appear world wide upon the the Justia.com Web site. The defendants were aware at the time of submission to the court file that the false statements in the report portrayed the plaintiff in a false light and was inadmissible as evidence.

As a result of the defendants' tortious actions of Placing Plaintiff in False Light, the plaintiff has suffered extreme emotional distress, a loss of reputation and a loss of income from the conduct of the defendants and requests general and punitive damages in the amount of \$1,000,000.

<u>6th</u>	CAUSE OF ACTION - Intentional Tort	Page 9
ATT	ACHMENT TO X Complaint Cross-Complaint	
(Use	a separate cause of action form for each cause of action.)	
IT-1.	Plaintiff (name): Kevin Vanginderen	45
	alleges that defendant (name): Bert Deixler and Cornell University	

on (date): December 12, 2007

at (place): United States District Court, Southern District of California and everywhere the Internet is available (description of reasons for liability):

On December 12, 2007, Defendant Bert Deixler acting as an agent of Defendant Cornell University wrote a false statement about the plaintiff that portrayed the plaintiff in a false light when he declared in writing that the Plaintiff was charged in connection with fifteen separate crimes although he had never ascertained that fifteen individual crimes had ever occurred, nor did he ever possess a scintilla of evidence that the plainitff was responsible for even half that number of alleged incidents. Defendant Bert Deixler subsequently republished his false statement onto the Internet by submitting it to United States District Court, Southern District of California, with the knowledge, intent and purpose that it would immediately appear world wide upon the the Justia.com Web site.

As a result of the defendants' tortious actions of Placing Plaintiff in False Light, the plaintiff has suffered extreme emotional distress, a loss of reputation and a loss of income from the conduct of the defendants and requests general and punitive damages in the amount of \$1,000,000.

7th	(number)	AUSE OF ACTION - Intentional Tort	Page _10
ATTACH	MENT TO Complaint	Cross-Complaint	
(Use a sep	arate cause of action form fo	r each cause of action.)	
IT-1. Pla	aintiff <i>(name)</i> : Kevin Van	ginderen	
alle	eges that defendant (name):	Cornell University and Bert	Deixler

on (date): November 2, 2007,

at (place): United States District Court, Southern District of

California (description of reasons for liability):

On November 2, 2007, Defendant Bert Deixler acting as an agent of Defendant Cornell University published sealed records pertaining to the plaintiff into a public forum by submitting them to United States District Court, Southern District of California with the knowledge that the records were sealed.

As a result of the defendants' tortious actions of Public Disclosure of Private Facts for disclosing private information dating back over twenty four years, the plaintiff has suffered extreme emotional distress, a loss of reputation and a loss of income from the conduct of the defendants and requests general and punitive damages in the amount of \$1,000,000.

HORT TIT	TLE: VANGINDEREN V. CORNELL UNIVERSITY, BERT DEIXI	LER CASE NUMBER:
8th	CAUSE OF ACTION - Intentional Tort	Page <u>11</u>
ATTA	ACHMENT TO 🖾 Complaint 🗀 Cross-Complaint	
(Use a	a separate cause of action form for each cause of action.)	
IT-1.	Plaintiff (name): Kevin Vanginderen	
	alleges that defendant (name): Cornell University and Bert	Deixler
	to	
	was the least (see install)	

on (date): November 2, 2007,

at (place): United States District Court, Southern District of California and everywhere the Internet is available (description of reasons for liability):

On November 2, 2007, Defendant Bert Deixler acting as an agent of Defendant Cornell University published sealed records pertaining to the plaintiff onto the Internet by submitting them to United States District Court, Southern District of California, with the knowledge, intent and purpose that it would immediately appear world wide upon the the Justia.com Web site and with the knowledge that the records were sealed.

As a result of the defendants' tortious actions of Public Disclosure of Private Facts for disclosing private information dating back over twenty four years, the plaintiff has suffered extreme emotional distress, a loss of reputation and a loss of income from the conduct of the defendants and requests general and punitive damages in the amount of \$1,000,000.

SHORT TITLE: VANGI	NDEREN V. C	ORNELL U	NIVERSITY,	BERT DE	IXLER	CASE NUMBER:	(#E
9th (number)	C.	AUSE OF A	ACTION - Inte	ntional To	ort	Pag	e <u>12</u>
ATTACHMENT TO	Complaint	Cross-0	Complaint				
(Use a separate caus	e of action form fo	r each cause	of action.)				
IT-1. Plaintiff (name	e): Kevin Van	ginderen	i.		(2)		ă
alleges that d	efendant (name):	Cornell	University	and Be	rt Deix	ler	
	*						
	oes to						

on (date): November 2, 2007,

at (place): United States District Court, Southern District of California (description of reasons for liability):

On November 2, 2007, Defendant Bert Deixler acting as an agent of Defendant Cornell University published previously sealed records pertaining to the plaintiff into a public forum by submitting them to United States District Court, Southern District of California with the knowledge that the majority of these records are inadmissible on grounds of privacy, attorney client privilege, and lack of authenticity and/or relevance.

As a result of the defendants' tortious actions of Intrusion Into Private Affairs by disclosing private and privileged information dating back over twenty four years, the plaintiff has suffered extreme emotional distress, a loss of reputation and a loss of income from the conduct of the defendants and requests general and punitive damages in the amount of \$1,000,000.

SHORT TITLE: VANGINI	DEREN V. CORNE	LL UNIVERSITY,	BERT DEIXLER	CASE NUMBER:
10th (number)	CAUSE	OF ACTION - Inte	entional Tort	Page _13
ATTACHMENT TO	◯ Complaint ☐ C	Cross-Complaint		
(Use a separate cause o	of action form for each	cause of action.)		
IT-1. Plaintiff (name):	Kevin Vangind	leren		
alleges that defe	endant (name): Cor	nell Universit	y and Bert De	ixler
☐ Doe	sto			

at (place): United States District Court, Southern District of California and everywhere the Internet is available (description of reasons for liability):

On November 2, 2007, Defendant Bert Deixler acting as an agent of Defendant Cornell University republished previously sealed records pertaining to the plaintiff into a public forum by submitting them to United States District Court, Southern District of California with the knowledge, intent and purpose that it would immediately appear world wide upon the the Justia.com Web site and with the knowledge that the majority of these records are inadmissible on grounds of privacy, attorney client privilege, and lack of authenticity and/or relevance.

As a result of the defendants' tortious actions of Intrusion Into Private Affairs for disclosing and publishing upon the Internet private and privileged information dating back over twenty four years, the plaintiff has suffered extreme emotional distress, a loss of reputation and a loss of income from the conduct of the defendants and requests general and punitive damages in the amount of \$1,000,000.

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO STREET ADDRESS: 500 Third Avenue MAILING ADDRESS: 500 Third Avenue MAILING ADDRESS: 500 Third Avenue CITY AND ZIP CODE: Chula Vista, CA 91910-5649 BRANCH NAME: South County TELEPHONE NUMBER: (619) 691-4400 PLAINTIFF(S) / PETITIONER(S): KEVIN VANGINDEREN DEFENDANT(S) / RESPONDENT(S): CORNELL UNIVERSITY et.al. VANGINDEREN VS. CORNELL UNIVERSITY CASE NUMBER:

Judge: William S. Cannon

Department: S-04

37-2008-00069807-CU-DF-SC

COMPLAINT/PETITION FILED: 04/08/2008

CASES ASSIGNED TO THE PROBATE DIVISION ARE NOT REQUIRED TO COMPLY WITH THE CIVIL REQUIREMENTS LISTED BELOW

IT IS THE DUTY OF EACH PLAINTIFF (AND CROSS-COMPLAINANT) TO SERVE A COPY OF THIS NOTICE WITH THE COMPLAINT (AND CROSS-COMPLAINT).

ALL COUNSEL WILL BE EXPECTED TO BE FAMILIAR WITH SUPERIOR COURT RULES WHICH HAVE BEEN PUBLISHED AS DIVISION II, AND WILL BE STRICTLY ENFORCED.

- TIME STANDARDS: The following timeframes apply to general civil cases and must be adhered to unless you have requested and been granted an extension of time. General civil consists of all cases except: Small claims appeals, petitions, and unlawful detainers.
- COMPLAINTS: Complaints must be served on all named defendants, and a CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE (SDSC CIV-345) filed within 60 days of filing. This is a mandatory document and may not be substituted by the filing of any other document.
- DEFENDANT'S APPEARANCE: Defendant must generally appear within 30 days of service of the complaint. (Plaintiff may stipulate to no more than a 15 day extension which must be in writing and filed with the Court.)
- DEFAULT: If the defendant has not generally appeared and no extension has been granted, the plaintiff must request default within 45 days of the filling of the Certificate of Service.

THE COURT ENCOURAGES YOU TO CONSIDER UTILIZING VARIOUS ALTERNATIVES TO LITIGATION, INCLUDING MEDIATION AND ARBITRATION, PRIOR TO THE CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE. MEDIATION SERVICES ARE AVAILABLE UNDER THE DISPUTE RESOLUTION PROGRAMS ACT AND OTHER PROVIDERS. SEE ADR INFORMATION PACKET AND STIPULATION.

YOU MAY ALSO BE ORDERED TO PARTICIPATE IN ARBITRATION PURSUANT TO CCP 1141.10 AT THE CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE. THE FEE FOR THESE SERVICES WILL BE PAID BY THE COURT IF ALL PARTIES HAVE APPEARED IN THE CASE AND THE COURT ORDERS THE CASE TO ARBITRATION PURSUANT TO CCP 1141.10. THE CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE WILL BE CANCELLED IF YOU FILE FORM SDSC CIV-359 PRIOR TO THAT HEARING

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO

CASE NUMBER: 37-2008-00069807-CU-DF-SC

CASE TITLE: VANGINDEREN vs. CORNELL UNIVERSITY

NOTICE TO LITIGANTS/ADR INFORMATION PACKAGE

You are required to serve a copy of this Notice to Litigants/ADR Information Package and a copy of the blank Stipulation to Alternative Dispute Resolution Process (received from the Civil Business Office at the time of filing) with a copy of the Summons and Complaint on all defendants in accordance with San Diego Superior Court Rule 2.1.5, Division II and CRC Rule 201.9.

ADR POLICY

It is the policy of the San Diego Superior Court to strongly support the use of Alternative Dispute Resolution ("ADR") in all general civil cases. The court has long recognized the value of early case management intervention and the use of alternative dispute resolution options for amenable and eligible cases. The use of ADR will be discussed at all Case Management Conferences. It is the court's expectation that litigants will utilize some form of ADR – i.e. the court's mediation or arbitration programs or other available private ADR options as a mechanism for case settlement before trial

ADR OPTIONS

1) CIVIL MEDIATION PROGRAM: The San Diego Superior Court Civil Mediation Program is designed to assist parties with the early resolution of their dispute. All general civil independent calendar cases, including construction defect, complex and eminent domain cases are eligible to participant in the program. Limited civil collection cases are not eligible at this time. San Diego Superior Court Local Rule 2.31, Division II addresses this program specifically. Mediation is a non-binding process in which a trained mediator 1) facilitates communication between disputants, and 2) assists parties in reaching a mutually acceptable resolution of all or part of their dispute. In this process, the mediator carefully explores not only the relevant evidence and law, but also the parties' underlying interests, needs and priorities. The mediator is not the decision-maker and will not resolve the dispute – the parties do. Mediation is a flexible, informal and confidential process that is less stressful than a formalized trial. It can also save time and money, allow for greater client participation and allow for more flexibility in creating a resolution.

Assignment to Mediation, Cost and Timelines: Parties may stipulate to mediation at any time up to the CMC or may stipulate to mediation at the CMC. Mediator fees and expenses are split equally by the parties, unless otherwise agreed. Mediators on the court's approved panel have agreed to the court's payment schedule for county-referred mediation: \$150.00 per hour for each of the first two hours and their individual rate per hour thereafter. Parties may select any mediator, however, the court maintains a panel of court-approved mediators who have satisfied panel requirements and who must adhere to ethical standards. All court-approved mediator fees and other policies are listed in the Mediator Directory at each court location to assist parties with selection. Discovery: Parties do not need to conduct full discovery in the case before mediation is considered, utilized or referred. Attendance at Mediation: Trial counsel, parties and all persons with full authority to settle the case must personally attend the mediation, unless excused by the court for good cause.

2) JUDICIAL ARBITRATION: Judicial Arbitration is a binding or non-binding process where an arbitrator applies the law to the facts of the case and issues an award. The goal of judicial arbitration is to provide parties with an adjudication that is earlier, faster, less formal and less expensive than trial. The arbitrator's award may either become the judgment in the case if all parties accept or if no trial de novo is requested within the required time. Either party may reject the award and request a trial de novo before the assigned judge if the arbitration was non-binding. If a trial de novo is requested, the trial will usually be scheduled within a year of the filing date.

Assignment to Arbitration, Cost and Timelines: Parties may stipulate to binding or non-binding judicial arbitration or the judge may order the matter to arbitration at the case management conference, held approximately 150 days after filing, if a case is valued at under \$50,000 and is "at issue". The court maintains a panel of approved judicial arbitrators who have practiced law for a minimum of five years and who have a certain amount of trial and/or arbitration experience. In addition, if parties select an arbitrator from the court's panel, the court will pay the arbitrator's fees. Superior Court

- 3) SETTLEMENT CONFERENCES: The goal of a settlement conference is to assist the parties in their efforts to negotiate a settlement of all or part of the dispute. Parties may, at any time, request a settlement conference before the judge assigned to their case; request another assigned judge or a pro tem to act as settlement officer; or may privately utilize the services of a retired judge. The court may also order a case to a mandatory settlement conference prior to trial before the court's assigned Settlement Conference judge.
- 4) OTHER VOLUNTARY ADR: Parties may voluntarily stipulate to private ADR options outside the court system including private binding arbitration, private early neutral evaluation or private judging at any time by completing the "Stipulation to Alternative Dispute Resolution Process" which is included in this ADR package. Parties may also utilize mediation services offered by programs that are partially funded by the county's Dispute Resolution Programs Act. These services are available at no cost or on a sliding scale based on need. For a list of approved DRPA providers, please contact the County's DRPA program office at (619) 428-3200.

ADDITIONAL ADR INFORMATION: For more information about the Civil Mediation Program, please contact the Civil Mediation Department at (619) 515-8908. For more information about the Judicial Arbitration Program, please contact the Arbitration Office at (619) 531-3818. For more information about Settlement Conferences, please contact the Independent Calendar department to which your case is assigned. Please note that staff can only discuss ADR options and cannot give legal advice.

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO	0	FOR COURT USE ONLY		
STREET ADDRESS: 500 Third Avenue	· · · · · ·	TON COOK! USE ONLY		
MAILING ADDRESS: 500 Third Avenue	52 SE SEA			
CITY, STATE, & ZIP CODE: Chula Vista, CA 91910-5649				
BRANCH NAME: South County				
PLAINTIFF(S): KEVIN VANGINDEREN				
DEFENDANT(S): CORNELL UNIVERSITY et.al.				
SHORT TITLE: VANGINDEREN VS. CORNELL UNIVERSITY				
STIPULATION TO ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RES (CRC 3.221)	SOLUTION PROCESS	CASE NUMBER: 37-2008-00069807-CU-DF-SC		
Judge: William S. Cannon	Departmen	nt: S-04		
The parties and their attorneys stipulate that the matter is at issue a resolution process. Selection of any of these options will not delay a				
Court-Referred Mediation Program		Court-Ordered Nonbinding Arbitration		
Private Neutral Evaluation	Court-Orde	red Binding Arbitration (Stipulated)		
Private Mini-Trial	A SAME	erence to General Referee		
Private Summary Jury Trial	Private Refe	erence to Judge		
Private Settlement Conference with Private Neutral	Private Bind	Private Binding Arbitration		
Other (specify):				
It is also stipulated that the following shall serve as arbitrator, media				
	-			
Alternate: (mediation & arbitration only)				
Date:				
	Date:			
Name of Plaintiff				
ıl e	Name of Defendar	nt		
Signature	-			
	Signature			
Name of Plaintiff's Attorney	Name of Defendar	Name of Defendant's Attorney		
		,		
Signature	Signature			
Attach another sheet if additional names are necessary). It is the duration of Court, 3.1385. Upon notification of the settlement the court values of Court, 3.1385.		of any settlement pursuant to California		
No new parties may be added without leave of court and all un-serve T IS SO ORDERED.	d, non-appearing or actions by nar	mes parties are dismissed.		
lated: 04/08/2008				
C CIV-359 (Rev 01-07)	JUD	GE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT		

STIPULATION TO USE OF ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION

Page: 1